

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

United States of America,) Case No. <u>22-mj-71019 MAG</u>
Plaintiff, v.) STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME) UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
Elio Hernandez Zuniga,	AND WAIVER UNDER FRCP 5.1
Defendant(s).)
Trial Act from August 10, 2022 to August 31, 2022	on August 10, 2022, the court excludes time under the Speedy and finds that the ends of justice served by the ic and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § bases this continuance on the following factor(s):
Failure to grant a continuance woul See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	ld be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
defendants, the nature of the or law, that it is unreasonable to ex	ex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact pect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial shed by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
	ld deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, due diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
	ld unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given mitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
	ld unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
disposition of criminal cases, the coparagraph and — based on the part the time limits for a preliminary her extending the 30-day time period for	and taking into account the public interest in the prompt ourt sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first ies' showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending aring under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for or an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the d. R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
DATED:_ August 11, 2022	Askii Kini
	Sallie Kim
O = . 1	United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED:	/s/ Kevin Yeh
Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney